

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,068	08/29/2001	James Kent Heckman	W2K1062	8680
23504	7590 09/27/2002			
WEISS & MOY PC			EXAMINER	
4204 NORTH SCOTTSDAL	BROWN AVENUE E, AZ 85251		THOMPSON, CRAIG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	.)
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>`</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Um
ř		09/943,068	HECKMAN ET AL.	·
Offi	c Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Craig A Thompson	2813	
The M	AILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet with t		S
Period for Reply		-		
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply ways - Any reply receive	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE The may be available under the provisions of 3 NTHS from the mailing date of this communicately specified above is less than thirty (30) deply is specified above, the maximum statute in ithin the set or extended period for reply will	7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commun ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 August 2001</u> .		
2a)∐ This a	ction is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
	in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal matters e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1		erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of t	ne above claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Pap	ers			
9)☐ The spe	cification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10)☐ The drav	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) \square objected to by the l	Examiner.	
		ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance		
	osed drawing correction filed o		pproved by the Examiner.	
	oved, corrected drawings are require			
•	or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
<u></u>	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
-	•	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
, <u> </u>)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	ertified copies of the priority do			
<u> </u>		cuments have been received in Appli		
	application from the Internati	the priority documents have been rec onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not rec	·	е
14) Acknowle	dgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
· _		age provisional application has been domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§		
Attachment(s)				
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)		Office Action Summary	Part of Pape	er No. 2

Application/Control Number: 09/943,068

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to device, classified in class 257, subclass 430+.
- II. Claims 14-18, drawn to template, classified in class 428, subclass 29.
- III. Claims 19-26, drawn to process, classified in class 438, subclass 48+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of Group I can be used to make materially different products including those not requiring a micromirror array.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of group III can be used to make a materially different product including one with non-rectangular arrays.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

المحا

Application/Control Number: 09/943,068

Art Unit: 2813

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in formation of non-optical arrays. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Jeffrey D. Moy on 9/25/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship-must be amended in compliance with 37_CFR_1.48(b) if one

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/943,068

Art Unit: 2813

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Craig A. Thompson whose telephone number is

(703)305-4789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00

am to 5:00 pm. The examiner can be reached electronically at

craig.thompson@uspto.gov for assistance on procedural matters.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached at (703)306-2794. Fax numbers for the

group include (703)305-3431 and (703)308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature

relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist

whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Craig Thompson

26 September 2002